

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON MA 02111 MAILED
JUL 28 2010

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Howard et al. : DECISION ON APPLICATION

Application No. 10/723,240 : FOR

Filed: November 26, 2003 : PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

Atty Docket No. 38820-526002US:

This is a decision on the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b)," filed June 22, 2010. Applicants request that the initial determination of patent term adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) be corrected from five hundred seventy (570) days to seven hundred and thirteen (713) days.

The application for patent term adjustment is **GRANTED-IN-PART to** the extent indicated herein.

The Office has updated the PAIR screen to reflect that the correct Patent Term Adjustment (PTA) determination at the time of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance is six hundred fortynine (649) days. A copy of the updated PAIR screen, showing the correct determination, is enclosed.

On March 23, 2010, the Office mailed the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) in the above-identified application. The Notice stated that the patent term adjustment (PTA) to date is five hundred seventy (570) days. On June 22, 2010, applicants timely submitted the instant application for patent term adjustment¹.

Applicants dispute the reduction of one hundred forty-seven (147) days for filing of the "SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE

¹ The Office records show applicants paid the issue fee on June 22, 2010.

OFFICE ACTION MAILED OCTOBER 9, 2008" on September 2, 2009, after a reply had been filed. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.704(c)(8)². Applicants contend that they submitted the "SUPPLEMENTAL AMENDMENT IN RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED OCTOBER 9, 2008" at the request of the examiner.

Applicants' contention is well taken. The record supports a conclusion that the supplemental reply filed September 2, 2009, was expressly requested by the examiner within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.704(c)(8). Accordingly, the reduction of 147 days is not warranted and is being removed.

Further, applicants disclose, and a review of the application history reveals, that on January 15, 2010, applicants submitted a supplemental reply or paper in the form of an Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) after filing a reply to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due on January 11, 2010. The reduction to the patent term adjustment is made pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(c)(10), not 37 CFR 1.704(c)(8) as applicants intimate. A review of the IDS filed January 15, 2010, reveals that applicants did not include a statement under 37 CFR 1.704(d). Thus, applicant failed to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the

Submission of a supplemental reply or other paper, other than a supplemental reply or other paper expressly requested by the examiner, after a reply has been filed, in which case the period of adjustment set forth in § 1.703 shall be reduced by the number of days, if any, beginning on the day after the date the initial reply was filed and ending on the date that the supplemental reply or other such paper was filed[.]

A paper containing only an information disclosure statement in compliance with §§ 1.97 and 1.98 will not be considered a failure to engage in reasonable efforts to conclude prosecution (processing or examination) of the application under paragraphs (c)(6), (c)(8), (c)(9), or (c)(10) of this section if it is accompanied by a statement that each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in § 1.56(c) more than thirty days prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. This thirty-day period is not extendable.

² 37 CFR § 1.704(c)(8) states:

¹ Pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.704(d):

period of adjustment should have been reduced by 68 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(c)(10), counting the number of days beginning on the date the IDS was filed, January 15, 2010, and ending on the mailing date of the Office action or notice in response to the IDS, March 23, 2010. Accordingly, a period of reduction of 68 days is being entered.

In view thereof, the correct patent term adjustment at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance is **six hundred and forty-nine (649) days** (965 days of Office delay - 316 days of applicant delay = 649 days).

Submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18(e) is acknowledged. No additional fee is required.

Applicants are reminded that any delays by the Office pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(4) and 1.702(b) and any applicant delays under 37 CFR 1.704(c)(10) will be calculated at the time of the issuance of the patent and applicants will be notified of the revised patent term adjustment to be indicated on the patent in the Issue Notification letter that is mailed to applicants approximately three weeks prior to issuance.

Telephone inquiries regarding this matter should be directed to Kenya A. McLaughlin, Petitions Attorney, at (571) 272-3222.

Anthony Knight

Director

Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Copy of REVISED PALM screen

Day : Wednesday



PALM INTRANET

Date: 7/28/2010 Time: 08:10:51

| PTA Calculations for Application: 10/723240 | | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|
| Application Filing Date: 11/26/2003 | PTO Delay (PTO): | 965 | | | | |
| Issue Date of Patent: | Three Years: | 0 | | | | |
| Pre-Issue Petitions: 0 | Applicant Delay (APPL): | 395 | | | | |
| Post-Issue Petitions: 0 | Total PTA (days): | 649 | | | | |
| PTO Delay Adjustment: 79 | 6 | | | | | |

| | | File Contents History | | | |
|--------|------------|---|-----|------|-------|
| Number | Date | Contents Description | PTO | APPL | START |
| 87 | 07/28/2010 | ADJUSTMENT OF PTA CALCULATION BY PTO | 79 | | |
| 80 | 03/23/2010 | MAIL NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE | | - | |
| 79 | 03/10/2010 | ISSUE REVISION COMPLETED | | | |
| 78 | 03/09/2010 | ALLOWED CASE RETURNED TO THE EXAMINER FOR CLERICAL PROCESSING | | | |
| 77 | 03/09/2010 | DOCUMENT VERIFICATION | | | |
| 76 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 75 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | • | |
| 74 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 73 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 72 | 01/11/2010 | REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS | | | |
| 71 | 01/11/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 70 | 03/05/2010 | NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DATA VERIFICATION COMPLETED | | | |
| 69 | 03/05/2010 | EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT COMMUNICATION | | | |
| 68 | 03/05/2010 | NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY | | | |
| 67 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED | | | |
| 66 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED | | · | |
| 65 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED | | | |
| 64 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED | | | |

| 63 | 01/11/2010 | REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) | | 2 | 47 |
|----|------------|---|----|-----|----|
| 62 | 03/02/2010 | DISPOSAL FOR A RCE / CPA / R129 | | | |
| 61 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 60 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 59 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 58 | 01/15/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 57 | 01/11/2010 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED | | | |
| 56 | | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 55 | 01/11/2010 | WORKFLOW - REQUEST FOR RCE - BEGIN | | | |
| 54 | 12/17/2009 | MAIL MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION TO APPLICANT | | | |
| 53 | 12/16/2009 | MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATION TO APPLICANT - NO ACTION COUNT | | | |
| 52 | 12/01/2009 | WORKFLOW - DRAWINGS FINISHED | | | |
| 51 | 11/10/2009 | FINISHED INITIAL DATA CAPTURE | | | |
| 50 | 10/29/2009 | MAIL NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICATION | | | |
| 49 | 10/29/2009 | PUB NOTICE OF DRAWING INCONSISTENCY WITH SPECIFICATION | | | |
| 48 | 10/14/2009 | EXPORT TO INITIAL DATA CAPTURE | | | |
| 47 | 10/09/2009 | MAIL NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE | 62 | | 39 |
| 46 | 10/08/2009 | ISSUE REVISION COMPLETED | | | |
| 45 | | DOCUMENT VERIFICATION | | | |
| 44 | 10/08/2009 | NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE DATA VERIFICATION COMPLETED | | | |
| 43 | | NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY | | | |
| 42 | 09/09/2009 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | |
| 41 | 09/02/2009 | SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE | | 147 | 39 |
| 40 | 07/30/2009 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | |
| 39 | 04/08/2009 | RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION | | 89 | 35 |
| 38 | 04/08/2009 | REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED | | | |
| 37 | 04/22/2009 | CHANGE IN POWER OF ATTORNEY (MAY INCLUDE ASSOCIATE POA) | | | |

| 36 | 04/20/2009 | CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS CHANGE | | | |
|------|------------|---|-----|----|----|
| 35 | 10/09/2008 | MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | |
| 34 | 10/08/2008 | NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | |
| 33 | 08/12/2008 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | |
| 32 | 07/14/2008 | RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION | | 30 | 30 |
| 31 | 07/14/2008 | REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED | | | |
| 30 | 03/14/2008 | MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | |
| 29 | 03/05/2008 | NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | |
| 28 | 02/06/2008 | DATE FORWARDED TO EXAMINER | | | |
| 27 | 01/18/2008 | RESPONSE AFTER NON-FINAL ACTION | | 92 | 25 |
| 26 | 01/18/2008 | REQUEST FOR EXTENSION OF TIME - GRANTED | | | · |
| 25 | 07/18/2007 | MAIL NON-FINAL REJECTION | 903 | | -1 |
| 24 | 07/16/2007 | NON-FINAL REJECTION | | | |
| 23 | | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT CONSIDERED | | | |
| 22 | 02/08/2007 | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | |
| 21 | 03/21/2006 | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | |
| 20 | 07/15/2005 | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | |
| 19 | 07/05/2005 | REFERENCE CAPTURE ON IDS | | | |
| 18.7 | 07/05/2005 | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 18 | | INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT (IDS) FILED | | | |
| 17 | 11/26/2004 | CASE DOCKETED TO EXAMINER IN GAU | | | |
| 16 | 11/26/2004 | IFW TSS PROCESSING BY TECH CENTER COMPLETE | | | |
| 15 | 08/03/2004 | TRANSFER INQUIRY TO GAU | | | |
| 14 | 07/20/2004 | APPLICATION RETURN FROM OIPE | | | |
| 13 | 07/20/2004 | APPLICATION RETURN TO OIPE | | | |
| 12 | 07/19/2004 | APPLICATION RETURN FROM OIPE | | | |
| 11 | 07/20/2004 | APPLICATION IS NOW COMPLETE | | | |
| 10 | 07/19/2004 | APPLICATION RETURN TO OIPE | | | |
| 9 | 07/19/2004 | APPLICATION DISPATCHED FROM OIPE | | | |
| 8 | 07/19/2004 | APPLICATION IS NOW COMPLETE | | |)[|
| 7 | 06/30/2004 | PAYMENT OF ADDITIONAL FILING FEE/PREEXAM | | 35 | 5 |
| | | A STATEMENT BY ONE OR MORE INVENTORS | | | |

| 6 | 06/30/2004 | SATISFYING THE REQUIREMENT UNDER 35 USC 115, OATH OF THE APPLIC | | |
|---|------------|---|--|--|
| 5 | 02/26/2004 | NOTICE MAILEDAPPLICATION INCOMPLETE FILING DATE ASSIGNED | | |
| 4 | 02/09/2004 | CASE CLASSIFIED BY OIPE | | |
| 3 | 02/09/2004 | CLEARED BY OIPE CSR | | |
| 2 | 12/24/2003 | IFW SCAN & PACR AUTO SECURITY REVIEW | | |
| 1 | 11/26/2003 | INITIAL EXAM TEAM NN | | |

| Search Another: Application# | - Searc | n. |
|------------------------------|---------|----|
|------------------------------|---------|----|

EXPLANATION OF PTA CALCULATION

EXPLANATION OF PTE CALCULATION

To go back, right click here and select Back. To go forward, right click here and select Forward. To refresh, right click here and select Refresh.

Back to OASIS | Home page